





Beyond Number




Performance of Prosecution in the fight against corruption April - June 2017



NATIONAL LEVEL

Based on CEPEJ method, influx of cases show the relationship between new cases, resolved cases and those waiting to be resolved. The number of new cases is the number of cases entering the system and requesting justice; the number of resolved cases is the answer given by justice system, i.e. the number of cases that are resolved. Cases waiting to be resolved or unresolved cases is the number of cases which are still not handled by prosecution at a certain time, and as such those are cases that are transferred.



Quarterly statistics for basic prosecutions and special prosecution of Kosovo for criminal offences against corruption and official duty.

SECOND QUARTER: 01 April - 30 June 2017

REGIONAL LEVEL



Basic Prosecutions	Cases at the beginning of the reporting period, transferred)	New cases (per persons) opened during 1 April - 30 June, 2017	Cases in process (per persons)	Resolved cases (per persons) during 1 April - 30 June, 2017	Unresolved cases at the end of the reporting period 30.06.2017
	(PS)	(1)	(LP)	(R)	(PE)
Prishtinë	574	71	645	114	531
Ferizaj	50	20	70	31	39
Mitrovicë	203	10	213	47	166
Prizren	50	16	66	18	48
Gjilan	42	22	64	26	38
Gjakovë	35	4	39	13	26
Pejë	33	7	40	11	29
Special Prosecution	96	10	106	9	97

METHODOLOGY

It should be noted at the very beginning that the measurement of performance of prosecution is not an easy task. Unlike courts, whose work is measured by cases, the work of prosecutions is mainly measured by persons. This is because prosecution invests a lot of time to prepare a case for an individual. This comes as a result of the complexity of the actions that the Prosecutor has to undertake while investigating a certain case. One case will have involved a minimum of one person. Accordingly, in cases where multi-suspects are involved, the Prosecutor has to take individual steps to secure the evidence for each of them.

Of course, the investigation is never the same and it varies depending on the criminal offence and the complexity of the case. All these and many other factors have an impact on the duration of a case until it turns into an indictment. As was the case in the report on courts, Lëvizja FOL used CEPEJ formulas to assess the performance of prosecution (CEPEJ, 2015). CEPEJ itself did not assess the efficiency of prosecutorial service.

However, after the analysis, FOL found that the CEPEJ formula for courts are also applicable to the measurement of the efficiency of prosecution based on official statistics.

The assessment does not include the entire prosecutorial system and does not include all cases and types of criminal offences. The measurement is carried out only for a special group of criminal offences, precisely for those stipulated in Chapter XXXIV of Criminal Code of Kosovo - Official Corruption, as well as Criminal Offences Against Official Duty, Articles 422 – 437.

The measurement is statistical and does not aim to measure the quality of cases, the quality of service of administration or the integrity and professionalism of prosecutors. The fields covered by Lëvizja FOL for the measurement of performance of prosecution are a s follows: 1 Influx of Cases, 2 Clearance Rate, 3 Case Turnover Ratio, 4 Case Resolution Trend, 5 Disposition Time, and 6) Backlog Index.


This performance report is based on official statistics published by Kosovo Prosecution Council

Clearance rate is a highly important indicator of performance of prosecutions because it shows their capacity to handle the cases. Based on the calculated rate for second quarter 2017, prosecution worked efficiently reaching the level beyond 100%. A 100% clearance rate would be desirable if prosecutions did not have backlogged cases.



Regional Level


Clearance rate for basic prosecutions and special prosecution



Trend of leveling of cases

National Level

A high number of cases is still being transferred from previous year, making the system incur delays in giving justice. The number of transferred cases directly affects the performance of prosecution, despite the fact that the level of performance is above 100%. The calculation here takes into account the clearance rate of cases for the second quarter.




Regional Level

Trend of leveling of cases based on statistics for the period **01 April - 30**june, **2017** for seven basic prosecutions and special prosecution




The European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ) requires the justice system to report an indicator of disposition time which is calculated in two steps. First, the number of resolved cases during the reporting* period is divided with the number of unresolved cases by the end of the reporting period, known as "case turnover ratio". Then, case turnover ratio is divided by 365 (for yearly statistics) so that the disposition time can be expressed in the number of days, in our case for the quarterly statistics we divide it by 90 (days). Disposition time (DT) compares the number of resolved cases during the reporting period with the number of unresolved cases by the end of the reporting period.


Case turnover ratio and disposition time compares the number of resolved cases during the reporting period with the number of unresolved cases by the end of the reporting period. Case turnover ratio measures the speed with which the system (in this case prosecutions) handle cases it receives - in other words, it measures the time needed to resolve a case. The higher the case turnover ratio is the longer the less it takes for the system to offer justice.




Regional Level



Disposition time (DT) compares the number of resolved cases during the reporting period with the number of unresolved cases by the end of the reporting period.



Regional Level






This indicator is established by the number of unresolved cases at the beginning of the reporting period, divided by the number of resolved cases during the reporting period. A high value of this number tells us that longer time is needed to resolve a case. For example, if this number is higher than 1.0, the court did not resolve as many cases as it had at the beginning of the reporting period and that such cases are being transferred for another period.



Regional level







This grant is funded by Democratic Society Promotion (DSP) project - financed by Swiss Cooperation Office Kosovo (SCO-K) in cooperation with the Danish Ministry of Foreign Affairs (DANIDA) and managed by Kosovar Civil Society Foundation (KCSF).